

Remarks

This is intended as a full and complete response to the Final Office Action dated May 29, 2007, having a shortened statutory period for response extended to expire on September 29, 2007. Please reconsider the claims pending in the application for the reasons discussed below.

Claims 1-29, 31, 38-47 and 49-51 remain pending in the application and are shown above. Claims 1-29, 31, 38-47 and 49-51 are indicated to be allowable over the prior art of record by the Examiner. Claims 1-29, 31, 38-47 and 49-51 are indicated to be rejected by the Examiner due to a defective reissue oath/declaration.


Claims 1-29, 31, 38-47, 49-51 stand rejected as being based upon a defective reissue oath/declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection.

Attached hereto is a new reissue declaration signed by the inventor, Uziel Landau. The declaration states at least one error upon which the reissue application is based. In particular, the declaration states that issued claim 1 is overly narrow by including a vacuum chuck as a limitation. By removing the recitation of the vacuum chuck and adding the limitation of a porous fluid flow adjustment member, the patent coverage will be broadened. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

In conclusion, the references cited by the Examiner, alone or in combination, do not teach, show, or suggest the invention as claimed.

Having addressed all issues set out in the Final Office Action, Applicant respectfully submits that the claims are in condition for allowance and respectfully request that the claims be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,



Keith M. Tackett
Registration No. 32,008
PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, L.L.P.
3040 Post Oak Blvd., Suite 1500
Houston, TX 77056
Telephone: (713) 623-4844
Facsimile: (713) 623-4846
Attorney for Applicant